

From: Catherine Halpin [mailto:catherine.halpin@electrogas.com.mt]
Sent: 18 February 2016 13:05
To: Yorgen Fenech (Tumas)
Subject: Re: RE:

Yes. I am on your side here. I wasn't when I did the numbers but now looking at the bigger picture we should just take the hit and at least just try and deliver the actual project.

Please let me take the hit instead of you though. That's what I am here for. And if Franz starts resourcing then I will have more time to be on top of things.

On 18 Feb 2016, at 12:44, Yorgen Fenech <YFenech@tumas.com> wrote:

No we need to slip out of the temp FSU

I just need a very very good story. Probably best to bring up a very strong technical constraint. Then I will handle it. It is going to be a very very rough time until that ship comes here.

From: Catherine Halpin [mailto:catherine.halpin@electrogas.com.mt]
Sent: 18 February 2016 12:42
To: Yorgen Fenech (Tumas)
Subject: Re:

Ok. We need to regroup and actually only reply on anything when we have a plan and know what we are doing.

Problem is that we are running out of time.

I have asked Aecom to come to me with proper schedule of how everything will be done for this and for the other issues in the project, but will also be working on the Egm plan with Franz to support everything.

My concern is that I should still write to mepa and talk about the propulsion. Because we know that will happen. I am not sure we will have the resources to tackle the temporary fsu

On 18 Feb 2016, at 12:10, Yorgen Fenech <YFenech@tumas.com> wrote:

This is what is being conveyed internally at TM

Begin forwarded message:

From: Piscopo James at Transport <james.piscopo@transport.gov.mt>
Date: 18 February 2016 10:16:36 CET
To: Mizzi Konrad at MEH <konrad.mizzi@gov.mt>
Subject: FW: IMPORTANT: meeting at MEPA re LNG Terminal

I am forwarding this for your attention.

Let me know what I can do to assist.

James

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, February 17, 2016 12:31 PM
To: Piscopo James at Transport; [REDACTED]
Subject: IMPORTANT: meeting at MEPA re LNG Terminal
Importance: High

Update

[REDACTED]

Both [REDACTED] and myself attended the technical meeting on Monday. Initially we met with Papadakis and later on we were joined by Electrogas. Some important points:

- 1) The project seems to be rather delayed and in terms of the safety assessment (being handled by Papadakis), and required by COMAH in respect of compliance with the SEVESO directive, there is still a lot to be done before this is formally accepted and approved. A sensitive issue for example is that if the FSU needs to disconnect from the shore facility due to inclement weather, repairs, maintenance or an emergency, there may be need for LNG to be released into the atmosphere within the port and this has not been properly assessed.

2) From the nautical safety aspect a lot remains pending as per our letter dated March 2014. We are now informed that there is a possibility that the FSU conversion may not be completed on time and consideration is being given to a replacement vessel for which we do not have any information. In the absence of formal, final documentation it is not possible for us to make a proper assessment.

3) The "terminal/jetty" would also require extensive surveying and certification by class and this will also take time. We are aware for example that Papadakis has raised concern regarding the actual location of the Control Room and this may require modifications. We have requested that the terminal requires Class Certification (Attestation) and am informed that this will be handled by BV.

4) Bumi Armada have been contracted to run the operation but to date no personnel involved in the actual operational management of the terminal and the FSU are available in Malta. This is a rather important issue because we need to ascertain that the personnel running the Malta operation are competent and fully conversant with the local scenario.

We remain available to assist and facilitate but we require proper information and interaction. Based on the present situation it is important to note that in respect of port operations/nautical assessment we are not in a position to be involved in public hearings (should we get such a request) regarding the project. We do not have in hand the required information. We can only go public and comment when we are confident that all is in order. I am stating this because during one of the meetings an eventual public hearing in connection with the MEPA and IPPC permits was mentioned.

[REDACTED]

From: Piscopo James at Transport
Sent: Is-Sibt, 13 ta' Frar 2016 19:17
To: [REDACTED]
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: meeting at MEPA re LNG Terminal

ok

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] at Transport
Sent: Friday, February 12, 2016 7:03 PM
To: Piscopo James at Transport
Cc: Bugeja David at Transport
Subject: Re: meeting at MEPA re LNG Terminal

I have been attending all meetings but was not able to attend today due to other commitments.

I spoke to [REDACTED] this morning regarding same and have confirmed my attendance Monday.

Hope this clarifies.

[REDACTED]

On 12 Feb 2016, at 17:38, Piscopo James at Transport <james.piscopo@transport.gov.mt> wrote:

[REDACTED]

I understand there was an important meeting at MEPA today, with the attendance of Dr Papadakis regarding the LNG operation. We were not present.

It is imperative you attend next Monday's meeting regarding the same subject.

James Piscopo
Chairman & CEO

<image001.png>

Malta Transport Centre
Marsa, MRS 1917
Malta

Tel: (356) 2122 2203

Email: james.piscopo@transport.gov.mt